

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/784,321	Applicant(s) LUEDTKE ET AL.	
	Examiner Ashwin Mehta	Art Unit 1638	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Ashwin Mehta.

 (3) Robert Hodgson.

 (2) Cassie Prochaska.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 May 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☐ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☒ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 None

Claims discussed:
 2, 22, 28, 30


Prior art documents discussed:
 None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: At the end of a personal interview requested by Applicants for another application, the Examiner proposed claim amendments to place the instant application in condition for allowance. Applicants approved the amendments. Regarding claim 2, the amendments are intended to address an antecedent basis issue.